1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10	JOSHUA JACOBS,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 3:19-cv-05144-JRC
12	v.	ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR
13	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	ATTORNEY'S FEES PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Fed. R. Civ. P. 73, and Local	
17	Magistrate Judge Rule MJR 13. See also Consent to Proceed before a United States Magistrate	
18	Judge, Dkt. 2. This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's unopposed motion for attorney's fees	
19	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b). See Dkt. 23.	
20	The Court may allow a reasonable fee for an attorney who represented a claimant before	
21	the Court and obtained a favorable judgment, as long as such fee is not in excess of twenty-five	
22	percent of the total of past-due benefits. See 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1); Grisbrecht v. Barnhart, 535	
23	U.S. 789 (2002). When a contingency agreement applies, the Court will look first to such	
24	agreement and will conduct an independent review to assure the reasonableness of the fee	

1	requested, taking into consideration the character of the representation and results achieved. See	
2	Grisbrecht, 535 U.S. at 807–08. Although the fee agreement is the primary means for	
3	determining the fee, the Court will adjust the fee downward if substandard representation was	
4	provided, if the attorney caused excessive delay, or if a windfall would result from the requested	
5	fee. See Crawford v. Astrue, 586 F.3d 1142, 1151 (9th Cir. 2009) (citing Grisbrecht, 535 U.S. at	
6	808).	
7	Here, the representation was standard, at least, and the results achieved excellent. See	
8	Dkt. 23-2; <i>Grisbrecht</i> , 535 U.S. at 808. Following remand from this Court for further	
9	consideration (Dkt. 17), plaintiff was awarded benefits. Dkt. 23-2. There has not been excessive	
10	delay and no windfall will result from the requested fee.	
11	Plaintiff's total back payment was \$82,225.00 (see Dkt. 23-2). Plaintiff's gross attorney's	
12	fee of \$20,556.25 is twenty-five percent of the back benefits. However, plaintiff's attorney	
13	already received an EAJA award in the amount of \$8,190.43. See Dkt. 22. Therefore, plaintiff	
14	has moved for a net attorney's fee of \$12,365.82. See Dkt. 23.	
15	Based on plaintiff's unopposed motion and supporting documents (see Dkts. 23-24), it is	
16	hereby ORDERED that attorney's fees in the amount of \$12,365.82 be awarded to plaintiff's	
17	attorney pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b). The Social Security Administration is directed to send	
18	payment of the 406(b) fees to plaintiff's attorney,	
19	Dated this 29th day of November, 2021.	
20		
21	J. Richard Creatura	
22	Chief United States Magistrate Judge	
23		
24		